

Towards a new Country Index as a global measuring indicator: Coevolution Index + Fractal Index of a Community (Ic = Ico + Ifr)

Dumitru Iacob – National University of Political Studies and Public Administration, Bucharest, Romania (dumitru.iacob@comunicare.ro)

Stefan Stanciugelu – National University of Political Studies and Public Administration, Bucharest, Romania (stanciugelustefan@gmail.com)

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY. METHODOLOGY

WHAT DO WE INTEND TO DO?

$$(Ic = Ico + Ifr)$$

The aim of the paper refers to the designing of a **Country Index**, with a methodology that may be linked to a key concept referring to growth and development processes – <u>Coevolution</u>. Hence the name of the index – Country's Coevolution Index.

The present paper focuses on the first part of the equation – the term Ic – COEVOLUTION INDEX.

METHODOLOGY

'Global indicators' are system, synthesis indicators; 'global indicators' integrate the strategic forces and flows of life inside the community, of social development; thereby the global indicators generate plausible, credible and useful diagnoses.

Basic concepts – STATE, MARKET

THEORY - The paper is grounded on the theory grounded in biology – neoevolutionism and replicated in different domains of the social sciences – THE THEORY OF CO-EVOLUTION.

THE THEORETICAL MODEL OF COEVOLUTION — the 4 quartals evaluation of the

The inventory of global indicators

- 1) The State/the Market
- 2) Global/Local; extreme cases: a) glocalism when global tendencies and local interests functionally interfere; b) populism the explosion of localism, of the autarchy of 'the exit';
- 3) Substance/Form; extreme cases: a) the communion between People and Ideas; the people and the communities receive cognitive and value support when they need it; b) 'The Substance without Form': people abandoned in ignorance/'false knowledge' and mental/axiological turmoil;
- 4) Public Intelligence/Public Stupidity
 (to solve or not to solve a social matter in such a manner that the consequences generate or do not generate more problems which are more numerous and severe than the initial issue was to see, among many other examples, in an European and autochthonous context, the issue of property over agricultural lands and the issue of how the largest agricultural farms are organised);
- 5) Individual/Community (the communion between individuals and communities, the mutual support/war between individuals and communities; from anarchy to totalitarianism).

Country Index - AN INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH

The methodological outline of the use of global indicators

- Global indicators derive from the intersection of two strategic axes concerning the
 processes and combustion of community development, such as, in a first example,
 the State and the Market. Each axis is comprised of numerical values ranging from
 -10 to +10. The intersection of the axes generate four quadrants/spheres of
 reference:
- a) the sphere of **healthy growth** (intelligent communities); values ranging from 0 to 10, on both axes; the state and the market support each other;
- b) the sphere of **catastrophic crisis**; values ranging from 0 to -10, on both axes; both the state and the market are 'ruined', 'collapsed';
- c) the sphere of risk/crisis; the state scoring under 0 and the market scoring close to 10; the market controls the state;
- d) the sphere of risk/crisis; the market scoring under 0 and the state scoring close to 10; the state controls the market.

The Theoretical Model of Co-evolution

Healthy growth
S+10, M+10
Co-evolution
Intelligent communities
Democracy

Risk/Crisis
S+10, M-10
Totalitarianism
Co-existence

Risk/Crisis
S-10, M+10
Anarchy
Co-existence

Catastrophic crisis
S-10, M-10
Chaos

An example of Index that could be redefined in the logic of our theoretical model

2017 Index of Economic Freedom,

http://www.heritage.org/index/book/methodology;

Romania is on the 20th position in a regional ranking with a General Index of Economic Freedom of 68,7 (see http://www.heritage.org/index/country/romania).

The general index "Rule of law" is composed of 3 sub-indicators:

Property Rights - 63.9,

Government Integrity - 45.9,

Judicial Effectiveness - 58.5.

